UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/663,756	09/17/2003	Jean-Pierre Yquel	229893US26	3828	
	590 04/25/200 .K. MCCLELLAND.	7 MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.	EXAM	INER	
1940 DUKE STREET				RAKSHANI, PHILIPPE	
ALEXANDRIA,	, VA 22314		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			3754		
•				•	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MON	THS	04/25/2007	ELECTRONIC .		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 04/25/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

				(
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/663,756	YQUEL ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit	-			
		PHILIPPE S. DERAKSHANI	3754				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the	ne correspondence address				
WHIO - External after - If No - Failer Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma- ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be downward and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tute, cause the application to become ABAND	ION. se timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26	S January 2007.					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal matters,	prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	tion of Claims		•				
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-57 is/are pending in the applicati	on.					
·	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5, 7, 23, 28 and 30-42</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
•	Claim(s) <u>1-4,6,8-22,24-27,29 and 43-57</u> is/a	re rejected.					
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.					
Applicat	tion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	ccepted or b) Objected to by the	ne Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr The oath or declaration is objected to by the		·				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	9(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		eived in this National Stage				
*	application from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a l		aived				
,	Gee the attached detailed Office action for a r	ist of the certified copies not rece					
Attachmei	nt(s)	111					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 📉 Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma	nary (PTO-413) nil Date				
3) Info	ce of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/663,756

Art Unit: 3754

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4, 6, 8-22, 24-27, 29 and 43-57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Graham in view of Smith 6,296,155.

Graham shows a tilt valve (column 4, lines 15-33). Graham lacks first and second inlet apertures. Smith shows first 50 and second 52 inlet apertures so a user can select different flow rates (see abstract). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified the Graham tilt valve with first and second inlet apertures as taught by Smith so a user can select different flow rates.

Neither Graham nor Smith disclose what the contained product is. It is well known that cosmetic products are dispensed using aerosols. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified the Graham/Smith device with the contained product being a cosmetic because it is well know that aerosols dispense cosmetics.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 1/26/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 10/663,756

Art Unit: 3754

Re claims 51-54 where omitted in office action 8/14/06 but where listed on cover sheet as claims rejected. Claims in question are unpatentable over Graham in view of Smith

Applicant alleges no motivation to combine Graham and Smith. Motivation to combine is stated in the abstract which is so a user can select different flow rates.

In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See In re Keller, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); In re Merck & Co., 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986). Graham shows a tilt valve. Smith teaches two openings. The Graham/Smith device is a tilt device with two openings in as much as applicant claimed invention.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PHILIPPE S. DERAKSHANI whose telephone number is 571-272-4925. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 hour days.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin P. Shaver can be reached on (571) 272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

PHILIPPE S DERAKSHAN Primary Examiner Art Unit 3754

PD 4/17/06